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**Standards-Referenced Grading Basics**

**Our purpose in collecting a body of evidence is to:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Evidence shows the student can...** | **Topic Score** |
| Demonstrate all learning targets from Level 3 and Level 4 | 4.0 |
| Demonstrate all learning targets from Level 3 with partial success at Level 4 | 3.5 |
| Demonstrate all learning targets from Level 3 | 3.0 |
| Demonstrate at least half of the Level 3 learning targets | 2.5 |
| Demonstrate foundational\* knowledge toward level 3 (could include Level 2 learning targets or success criteria) | 2.0 |
| Demonstrate some foundational\* knowledge of Level 2 learning targets | 1.5 |
| Demonstrate fewer than half of the learning targets from Level 2 and none of the Level 3 learning targets | 1.0 |
| Produce no evidence appropriate to the learning targets at any level | 0 |
| “foundational” as defined on p. 6 of the grading handbook = “Targets written below the level of cognitive complexity of the standard and found in level 2” | |

• Allow teachers to determine a defensible and credible topic score based on a representation of student learning over time.

• Clearly communicate where a student’s learning is based on a topic scale to inform instructional decisions and push student growth.

• Show student learning of Level 3 targets through multiple and varying points of data

• Provide opportunities for feedback between student and teacher.

**Scoring**

A collaborative scoring process is encouraged to align expectations of the scale to artifacts collected. Routine use of a collaborative planning and scoring protocol results in calibration and a collective understanding of evidence of mastery. Enough evidence should be collected to accurately represent a progression of student learning as measured by the topic scale. Teachers look at all available evidence to determine a topic score. All topic scores should be defensible and credible through a body of evidence.

**\*\*\*Only scores of 4, 3.5, 3, 2.5, 2, 1.5, 1, and 0 can be entered as Topic Scores**.

Some topics in ELA have clearly outline learning targets (3a, 3b, 3c) while others are listed with bullet points. Due to the nature of certain writing or speaking topics, these bullet points have been determined to act more like success criteria as they cannot be taught or assessed in isolation of the others. All bullet points are vital to the success of the overall standard and in student feedback but do not need to be reported out separately.

**Guiding Practices of**

**Standards-Referenced Grading**

**1.** A consistent 4-point grading scale will be used.

**2.** Student achievement and behavior will be reported separately.

**3.** Scores will be based on a body of evidence.

**4.** Achievement will be organized by learning topic and converted to a grade at semester’s end.

**5.** Students will have multiple opportunities to demonstrate proficiency.

**6.** Accommodations and modifications will be provided for exceptional learners.

**Multiple Opportunities**

Philosophically, there are two forms of multiple opportunities, both of which require backwards design and intentional planning. One form is opportunities planned by the teacher throughout the unit of study and/or throughout the semester. The other form is reassessment of learning which happens after completing assessment of learning at the end of a unit or chunk of learning (see information in [SRG Handbook](http://gradingsecondary.dmschools.org/uploads/1/3/2/2/13224522/2018-19_dmps_srg_handbook_for_printing_forrest_yes_asof_4-9-19.pdf))

Students will be allowed multiple opportunities to demonstrate proficiency. Teachers need reliable pieces of evidence to be confident students have a good grasp of the learning topics before deciding a final topic score. To make standards-referenced grading work, the idea of “multiple opportunities” is emphasized. If after these opportunities students still have not mastered Level 3, they may then be afforded the chance to reassess.

**Course Map**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Grading Topic** | **Content Standards** |
| **Applying Grammar and Mechanics** | * Language 1 * Language 2 |
| **Mastering Vocabulary** | * Reading Information 4 * Language 4a & 4d * Language 6 |
| **Collaborating in Discussions** | * Speaking and Listening 1 |
| **Writing for Specific Purposes** | * Writing 1-2 * Writing 4 |
| **Integrating Diverse Media** | * Reading Informational Text 1 * Reading Informational Text 7 |
| **Analyzing Purpose** | * Reading Informational Text 1 * Reading Informational Text 6 |
| **Developing Writing** | * Language 1-3 * Writing 5 |
| **Analyzing Text Structure** | * Reading Informational Text 1 * Reading Informational Text 5 |

**Request for Texts & Transfer of Materials: Novels or Textbooks**

*To streamline our transfer procedures and provide better accountability for the location of texts, please review these updates to our textbook policy.*

Current grade-level adopted textbooks are expected to be housed in buildings and accounted for yearly through the inventory process. A minimum of a class set for each instructor should be available in the building and checked out to teachers by name. Outdated adoptions are not supported by the district and no additional materials are available. Increased or decreased need can be initiated with the curriculum coordinator during yearly inventory.

Classroom sets of novels are available through Central Stores. Please complete a Novel Requisition Request form and the Curriculum Coordinator will initiate a Transfer of Materials Form. Books should be scanned into your building by your textbook manager or librarian prior to distribution to students. Classroom sets should arrive in quantities of 40, or 15 for literature circle sets. Any loss of books at the end of usage must be indicated prior to return back to Central Stores for accurate records and replacement.

Please DO NOT alter books in any way, including writing your name, numbering the spine, even using blue painters’ tape. This damages the resale value of the book and diminishes our investments. Classroom sets are intended to remain in the classroom. If a student needs to remove the book from the classroom, that book should be checked out to the student using the guidance **Textbook Inventory Handbook.**

Teachers should not initiate a transfer request in Infinite Campus without the express permission of the building textbook manager or the curriculum coordinator.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Applying Grammar and Mechanics** | | | |
| **4** | | **3**  **LEARNING GOAL** | **2** |
| **Semester 1** | ***Students:***   1. Use a colon to introduce an example or an elaboration | ***Students:***   1. Use apostrophes to form possessives, including irregular plural nouns 2. Use a semicolon to join related independent clauses | ***Students:***   1. Use punctuation to set off complex parenthetical elements 2. Use apostrophes to form simple possessive nouns |
| **Semester 2** | ***Students:***   1. Use idiomatically and contextually appropriate prepositions in combination with verbs in situations involving sophisticated language or complex concepts | ***Students:***   1. Ensure subject-verb agreement in some challenging situations (e.g., when the subject-verb order is inverted or when the subject is an indefinite pronoun) 2. Correctly use reflexive pronouns, the possessive pronouns *its* and *your*, and the relative pronouns *who* and *whom* | ***Students:***   1. Ensure pronoun-antecedent agreement when the pronoun and antecedent occur in separate clauses or sentences 2. Recognize and correct vague and ambiguous pronouns |

*These standards are derived from both the Core (CCSS ELA L 1 and CCSS ELA L 2) and the ACT College and Career Readiness Standards for English.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Ideal Student Experience:**  Students should be able to demonstrate mastery of a skill in their own writing. Isolated practice and assessment of grammar skills is acceptable if students are also provided authentic opportunities to apply these skills. No Red Ink or other grammar tools should be used in conjunction with quality teacher led instruction and authentic assessment. | **Teacher Clarifications**  The design of this scale is to demonstrate vertical articulation. The level 2’s indicate the skills required to meet proficiency at the grade below. The level 4’s indicate the skills required to meet proficiency in the grade following.  **Follow the learning targets for whatever semester the section is being taught. Whether students are enrolled in English IV or a combination of Lit & Comp courses, grammar and vocabulary instruction should remain consistent.** |
| **Academic Vocabulary** | **Additional Resources**  No Red Ink Premium: Writing Coach  Chompchomp.com  Writing With Power textbook |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Mastering Vocabulary** | | |
| **LEVEL 4: (ET)**  A level four task should include the following: prior learning; cognitive complexity; integrated skills; real world relevance; authentic application beyond the classroom.  **Possible Level 4 Guidance:**   1. Investigate the evolution of form and meaning of an unusual word in a text 2. Analyze examples of words and phrases that exemplify domain-specific vocabulary to effectively define the vocabulary term under study | **LEVEL 3 LEARNING GOAL: (AT)**  ***Students demonstrate they have the ability to:***   1. **Use** context as a clue to the meaning of a word or phrase 2. **Identify** and correctly **use** patterns of word changes that indicate different meanings or parts of speech | **Level 2: (PT)**  *Level 2 knowledge should be clarified by the building level PLC as they collaborate to unpack the Level 3 targets.*  **Guiding Question for the PLC to complete this process:**   * *What are the essential pieces of knowledge students need to have to show partial (****but still acceptable****) levels of understanding of the grade level standard/expectation (level 3)?*   **Possible Level 2 Guidance:**   * **Consult** reference materials, both print and digital, to find the pronunciation or a word or determine or clarify its precise meaning or its part of speech * **Verify** the preliminary determination of the meaning of a word or phrase |
| **Standard Language: CCSS ELA RL.11-12.4**  Determine the meaning of words and phrases as they are used in a text, including figurative and connotative meanings; analyze the impact of specific word choices on meaning and tone, including words with multiple meanings or language that is particularly fresh, engaging, or beautiful  **Standard Language: CCSS ELA RI.11-12.4**  Determine the meaning of words and phrases as they are used in a text, including figurative, connotative, and technical meanings; analyze how an author uses and refines the meaning of a key term or terms over the course of a text (e.g., how Madison defines faction in Federalist No. 10).  **Standard Language: CCSS ELA L.11-12.4**  Determine or clarify the meaning of unknown and multiple-meaning words and phrases based on *grade 11 reading and content*, choosing flexibly from a range of strategies. | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Multiple Opportunities**  Students being taught and assessed using the A STUDY OF WORD FAMILIES materials can be assessed multiple times throughout the semester (particularly using Exercise V (for 3C) and VI (for 3B) in each unit). To account for the ongoing nature of this process, grades can be determined using the three most recent assessments—this sort of Process-Based grading is a way to pull a grade at any given time while also requiring students to continuously work to keep up with vocabulary acquisition. | **Teacher Clarifications**  Instructional materials and word lists can be found at the link to the right, which connects to the A STUDY OF WORD FAMILIES materials assigned to this grade level. When using these materials, Exercises I-IV are best viewed as practice. These materials are **OPTIONAL** but could be a powerful routine homework assignment for your class. In such a case, consider assigning one unit per week and connecting students to materials either through print-outs or an online platform such as Canvas. |
| **Academic Vocabulary**  Technical, Context, Meaning, Tone, Figurative, Connotative, Reference, Preliminary, Evolution, Form | **Additional Resources**  If using A STUDY OF WORD FAMILIES, some Quizlet materials have been prepared by the publisher. Access them by clicking [HERE](https://www.prestwickhouse.com/quizlet/vocabulary-from-latin-and-greek-roots).  *Note: Grade 11 uses Book VI (the Quizlet page labels that book as Grade 12)* |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Collaborating in Discussions** | | |
| **LEVEL 4: (ET)**  A level four task should include the following: prior learning; cognitive complexity; integrated skills; real world relevance; authentic application beyond the classroom. | **LEVEL 3 LEARNING GOAL: (AT)**  ***Students initiate and engage effectively in a range of collaborative discussions with diverse partners on topics and texts, building on others’ ideas and expressing their own clearly and persuasively:***   * **Come to discussions prepared**, having read and researched material under study; explicitly draw on that preparation by referring to evidence from texts and other research on the topic to stimulate a thoughtful, well-reasoned exchange of ideas * **Propel conversations** by posing and responding to questions that probe reasoning and evidence; ensure a hearing for a full range of positions on a topic; clarify, verify, or challenge ideas and conclusions; promote divergent and creative perspectives * **Respond thoughtfully to diverse perspectives**; synthesize comments, claims, and evidence made on all sides of an issue; resolve contradictions when possible; determine what additional information or research is required to deepen the investigation or complete the task | **Level 2: (PT)**  *Level 2 knowledge should be clarified by the building level PLC as they collaborate to unpack the Level 3 targets.*  **Guiding Question for the PLC to complete this process:**   * *What are the essential pieces of knowledge students need to have to show partial (****but still acceptable****) levels of understanding of the grade level standard/expectation (level 3)?*   **Possible Level 2 Guidance:**   * **Work** with peers to set rules for collegial discussions and decision-making, clear goals and deadlines, and individual roles as needed * **Participate** actively in one-on-one, small-group, or class discussions in a thoughtful and appropriate manner * **Prepare** for participation in a discussion |
| **Standard Language: CCSS ELA SL.11-12.1**  Initiate and participate effectively in a range of collaborative discussions (one-on-one, in groups, and teacher-led) with diverse partners on grades 11-12 topics, texts, and issues, building on others' ideas and expressing their own clearly and persuasively. | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Multiple Opportunities**  The subject matter of these collaborative discussions should be drawn from the skills students need to demonstrate in the reading standards. Observation of discussions should then be able to serve as evidence of both this topic *and* the associated reading topic. | **Teacher Clarifications**  This topic is posted in both Semester 1 and Semester 2**.**  Consider this in 3 parts: Preparation, Presentation, Response. The clarifiers in the targets allow you to determine the nature of the conversation and which skills to focus on for the day’s assessment. |
| **Academic Vocabulary**  Posing, Probe, Clarify, Verify, Challenge, Collegial, Prepare, Synthesize | **Additional Resources** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Writing for Specific Purposes** | | |
| **LEVEL 4: (ET)**  A level four task should include the following: prior learning; cognitive complexity; integrated skills; real world relevance; authentic application beyond the classroom. | **LEVEL 3 LEARNING GOAL: (AT)**  ***Students demonstrate they have the ability to:***   1. Write argumentative pieces that attend to the requirements of the argumentative genre of writing 2. Write informative pieces that attend to the requirements of the informative/explanatory genre of writing | **Level 2: (PT)**  *Level 2 knowledge should be clarified by the building level PLC as they collaborate to unpack the Level 3 targets.*  **Guiding Question for the PLC to complete this process:**  *What are the essential pieces of knowledge students need to have to show partial (****but still acceptable****) levels of understanding of the grade level standard/expectation (level 3)?*  **Possib**l**e Level 2 Guidance:**   * Plan and organize a writing piece * Identify targeted characteristics in a sample writing piece * Write a brief piece on demand as appropriate to a specific task, purpose, or audience |
| **Standard Language: CCSS ELA W.11-12.1**  **Standard Language: CCSS ELA W.11-12.2**  **Standard Language: CCSS ELA W.11-12.4** | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Multiple Opportunities**  Coherent, Argumentative, Informative | **Teacher Clarifications**  See the chart on the following page for the complete list of requirements of the genres of writing referred to in the Learning Goal. |
| **Academic Vocabulary**  Satire, Sarcasm, Irony, Understatement | **Additional Resources** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Requirements of Each Primary Writing Genre** | |
| **Argumentative** | **Informative** |
| ***Students demonstrate they have the ability to write substantial arguments to support claims in an analysis of substantive topics or texts, using valid reasoning and relevant and sufficient evidence:***   * Introduce precise, knowledgeable claims * Establish the significance of the claims * Distinguish the claims from alternate or opposing claims * Create an organization that logically sequences claims, counterclaims, and evidence * Develop claims and counterclaims fairly and thoroughly, supplying the most relevant evidence for each while pointing out the strengths and limitations of both * Address the audience’s knowledge level, values, and possible biases in the development of the argument * Use varied syntax to link the major sections of the text, create cohesion, and clarify the relationships among claims, evidence, commentary, and counterclaims * Establish and maintain a formal style and objective tone while attending to the norms and conventions as appropriate to academic writing * Provide a conclusion that follows from and supports the argument | ***Students demonstrate they have the ability to write substantial informative texts to examine and convey complex ideas, concepts, and information clearly and accurately through the effective selection, organization, and analysis of content:***   1. Introduce a topic 2. Organize complex ideas, concepts, and information so that each new element builds on that which precedes it to create a unified whole 3. Include formatting (example: headings), graphics (example: figures, tables) and multimedia when useful to aiding comprehension 4. Develop the topic thoroughly by selecting the most significant and relevant facts, extended definitions, concrete details, quotations, or other information and examples 5. Address the audience’s knowledge of the topic in the development and organization of the text 6. Use appropriate and varied transitions and syntax to link the major sections of the text, create cohesion, and clarify the relationships among complex ideas and concepts 7. Use precise language, domain-specific vocabulary, and techniques such as metaphor, simile, and analogy to manage the complexity of the topic 8. Establish and maintain a formal style and objective tone while attending to the norms and conventions as appropriate to academic writing 9. Provide a conclusion that follows from and supports the information or explanation presented |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Integrating Diverse Media** | | |
| **LEVEL 4: (ET)**  A level four task should include the following: prior learning; cognitive complexity; integrated skills; real world relevance; authentic application beyond the classroom. | **LEVEL 3 LEARNING GOAL: (AT)**  ***Students demonstrate they have the ability to:***   1. **Integrate** and **evaluate** multiple sources of information presented in different media or formats as well as in words in order to address a question or solve a problem 2. **Cite** textual evidence to support analysis of what a text says explicitly as well as to support inferences drawn from the text, including where the text leaves matters uncertain | **Level 2: (PT)**  *Level 2 knowledge should be clarified by the building level PLC as they collaborate to unpack the Level 3 targets.*  **Guiding Question for the PLC to complete this process:**  *What are the essential pieces of knowledge students need to have to show partial (****but still acceptable****) levels of understanding of the grade level standard/expectation (level 3)?*  **Possib**l**e Level 2 Guidance:**   * Describe the differences between medias and formats when presenting information * Locate information from multiple sources presented in different media or formats, including words * Describe what a text says explicitly and draw logical inferences |
| **Standard Language: CCSS ELA RI.11-12.1**  Cite strong and thorough textual evidence to support analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text, including determining where the text leaves matters uncertain.  **Standard Language: CCSS ELA RI.11-12.7**  Integrate and evaluate multiple sources of information presented in different media or formats (e.g., visually, quantitatively) as well as in words in order to address a question or solve a problem. | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Multiple Opportunities**  Analysis papers regarding interpretive choices might be ideal evidence for the **Writing for Specific Purposes** topic, and this topic readily sparks **Collaborating in Discussions** events when asking students to choose the best of several versions/presentations of the same information. | **Teacher Clarifications**  This topic allows for very broad ideas of what constitutes ‘interpretation,’ but keep in mind the DMPS guidance on full-length films (in short: avoid them) |
| **Academic Vocabulary**  Media, Format | **Additional Resources** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Analyzing Purpose** | | |
| **LEVEL 4: (ET)**  A level four task should include the following: prior learning; cognitive complexity; integrated skills; real world relevance; authentic application beyond the classroom. | **LEVEL 3 LEARNING GOAL: (AT)**  ***Students demonstrate they have the ability to:***   1. **Analyze** how the style and content of a text in which the rhetoric is particularly effective contribute to the power, persuasiveness, or beauty of the text 2. **Cite** textual evidence to support analysis of what a text says explicitly as well as to support inferences drawn from the text, including where the text leaves matters uncertain | **Level 2: (PT)**  *Level 2 knowledge should be clarified by the building level PLC as they collaborate to unpack the Level 3 targets.*  **Guiding Question for the PLC to complete this process:**  *What are the essential pieces of knowledge students need to have to show partial (****but still acceptable****) levels of understanding of the grade level standard/expectation (level 3)?*  **Possib**l**e Level 2 Guidance:**   * Determine an author’s point of view or purpose in a text * Describe what a text says explicitly and draw logical inferences |
| **Standard Language: CCSS ELA RI.11-12.1**  Cite strong and thorough textual evidence to support analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text, including determining where the text leaves matters uncertain.  **Standard Language: CCSS ELA RI.11-12.6**  Determine an author's point of view or purpose in a text in which the rhetoric is particularly effective, analyzing how style and content contribute to the power, persuasiveness or beauty of the text. | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Multiple Opportunities**  As students dig into text, this scale should naturally recur throughout the semester, allowing students to practice these skills when **Collaborating in Discussions** and write analysis pieces that serve the **Writing for Specific Purposes** and **Developing Writing** topics. | **Teacher Clarifications** |
| **Academic Vocabulary**  Rhetoric, Purpose, Point of View | **Additional Resources** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Developing Writing** | | |
| **LEVEL 4: (ET)**  A level four task should include the following: prior learning; cognitive complexity; integrated skills; real world relevance; authentic application beyond the classroom. | **LEVEL 3 LEARNING GOAL: (AT)**  ***Students demonstrate they have the ability to write 4+ page literary analyses of substantive topics in texts, using valid reasoning and relevant and sufficient evidence:***   1. **Develop** writing by planning or outlining and conducting necessary research for background information 2. **Strengthen** writing by revising for clarity, organization, tone, and to vary syntax for effect 3. **Strengthen** writing by editing for conventions of standard English grammar and usage as well as capitalization, punctuation, and spelling | **Level 2: (PT)**  *Level 2 knowledge should be clarified by the building level PLC as they collaborate to unpack the Level 3 targets.*  **Guiding Question for the PLC to complete this process:**  *What are the essential pieces of knowledge students need to have to show partial (****but still acceptable****) levels of understanding of the grade level standard/expectation (level 3)?*  **Possib**l**e Level 2 Guidance:**   * Plan writing using a template or graphic organizer * Revise and edit writing to incorporate general peer feedback * Use grammar and usage conventions in isolation * Use capitalization and punctuation conventions in isolation * Describe the impact of particular uses of syntax in a text |
| **Standard Language: CCSS ELA W.11-12.5**  **Standard Language: CCSS ELA L.11-12.1**  **Standard Language: CCSS ELA L.11-12.2**  **Standard Language: CCSS ELA L.11-12.3** | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Multiple Opportunities** | **Teacher Clarifications** |
| **Academic Vocabulary**  Planning, Revising, Editing | **Additional Resources** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Analyzing Text Structure** | | |
| **LEVEL 4: (ET)**  A level four task should include the following: prior learning; cognitive complexity; integrated skills; real world relevance; authentic application beyond the classroom. | **LEVEL 3 LEARNING GOAL: (AT)**  ***Students demonstrate they have the ability to:***   1. **Analyze** and **evaluate** the effectiveness of the structure an author uses in his or her exposition or argument in a text, including whether the structure makes points clear, convincing, and engaging 2. **Cite** textual evidence to support analysis of what a text says explicitly as well as to support inferences drawn from the text, including determining where the text leaves matters uncertain | **Level 2: (PT)**  *Level 2 knowledge should be clarified by the building level PLC as they collaborate to unpack the Level 3 targets.*  **Guiding Question for the PLC to complete this process:**  *What are the essential pieces of knowledge students need to have to show partial (****but still acceptable****) levels of understanding of the grade level standard/expectation (level 3)?*  **Possib**l**e Level 2 Guidance:**   * Describe the structure of texts * Describe the author’s structural choices in a text * Describe what a text says explicitly and draw logical inferences |
| **Standard Language: CCSS ELA RI.11-12.1**  Cite strong and thorough textual evidence to support analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text, including determining where the text leaves matters uncertain.  **Standard Language: CCSS ELA RI.11-12.5**  Analyze and evaluate the effectiveness of the structure an author uses in his or her exposition or argument, including whether the structure makes points clear, convincing, and engaging. | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Multiple Opportunities** | **Teacher Clarifications** |
| **Academic Vocabulary**  Argument, Structure | **Additional Resources** |